

**Infrastructure and Public Facilities Needs Assessment (IPFNA) Project
Stakeholder Workshop
Wednesday, March 8, 2006; 2:00p.m. – 5:00 p.m.
Yano Hall, Captain Cook**

EVALUATION FORM

1. Based on today's discussion, are you in favor of establishing an impact fee system to benefit the County of Hawaii?

Yes: 21 No: 2 Not sure: 1 Did not answer: 1 Other: 0

Comments:

- With some revisions to the report as it stands now

2. Did this Stakeholder Workshop provide you with helpful information to understand the County IPFNA Project?

Yes: 21 No: 1 Not sure: 0 Did not answer: 2 Other: 1

Comments:

- Not enough

3. Was the venue convenient and appropriate?

Yes: 13 No: 11 If not, why? Did not answer: 0 Other: 1

Comments:

- Ka'u resident.
- Bad traffic to/from site.
- Very noisy.
- A venue in Kailua-Kona would have been more convenient. Yano Hall was also very noisy (highway traffic).
- Hot and noisy room.
- Far too much noise and crowding.
- Not good for hearing! Noisy.
- 40 miles one way?
- It was help during normal working hours making it difficult to attend for me and impossible for many others. Also, geographically, having one in the north and one in the south would have been more convenient and more people could have attended from those regions.
- Too noisy, too little time. Wrong place, wrong time, not big enough.
- Too noisy outside, otherwise okay.
- Marginal for driving distance.
- Too far from Kailua and noisy!

4. Is there any Impact Fee-related terminology that you do not understand or need further clarification on?

- Priority 1 and 2 improvements – these could be spelled out (or be required to be spelled out) in conjunction with adoption of the ordinance.
- Most of it was clear.
- H...”Appropriate other funding to pay the impact fee for such projects.”
 - Affordable housing mean income of \$52,000 is not affordable most low income workers make less than \$20,000.
- Yes, (H) affordable Housing Project
 - Multi units
 - Define more, etc.
 - Single-family low income housing
 - AHP Elderly individuals with limited government fund or income earned.
- Per law, impact fees will be based on existing facility levels but West Hawaii area levels are so poor...how can we ever expect equity of facilities and still pay the...share of taxes all the while?
- All impact fees should be embarked to a special fund infrastructure. Money or fees cannot be borrowed or used for any other purposes.
- Use of “affordable housing” terminology doesn’t work and the federal formula doesn’t work. We need “low income housing (rentals and for sale).”

5. How could we have improved this Stakeholder Workshop?

- Larger room so tables not so close. We had to shout to hear over the other table.
 - Larger screen or closer so back of the room could read smaller print.
 - Have runners to take questions to be answered instead of having to wait till someone came to out table.
- General Q&A time so group could benefit from discussions at other tables.
- Hold in a room that provides more quiet for each group.
- Facilitators should have had training and notification earlier and been in the budget. We want more respect and prep time!
- Quiet environment and more time.
- Different location.
- Impact Fees should not into the general fund. Should be assigned to the benefit district.
 - Facility too noisy.
- Less participation by consultants at our table, have a runner to them if info needed, who comes back with a concise answer. This gives us more time. Also, for each issue to first state what the issue is [re?] what’s wrong with today’s fair assessment fee system? So we know in what light to look at the proposed answers!
- More time, less confusing. Better notice/advance newspaper info, larger meeting room/quiet environment, held in evening so more residents could attend.
- Uncertain. It was well organized.

- It was a good workshop but subject is very complicated for even this amount of time. Thanks for doing it! Thoughtful process.
- Given the “rules of engagement” beforehand. Ex: 1.) express ? 2.) discussion 3.) consensus of group.
- Two more workshops
- The “Dot” process good except it was missing input from group discussion.
- Page 7 of policy analogies; HRS46-146 “If shall...preceded”...but as usual corp. counsel interprets “shall” as “may” (discretionary).
- Continue to dialog. Everyone needs more education on issue.